

THE HONORABLE FRED VAN SICKLE

F. MIKE SHAFFER, WSBA No. 18669  
BRADLEY B. JONES, WSBA No. 17197  
KENNETH G. KIEFFER, WSBA No. 10850  
JOHN C. GUADNOLA, WSBA No. 08636  
GORDON THOMAS HONEYWELL LLP  
1201 Pacific Avenue, Suite 2100  
Tacoma WA 98402-4314  
253-620-6500  
253-620-6565

STEVE W. BERMAN, WSBA No. 12536  
TYLER S. WEAVER, WSBA No. 29413  
JENIPHR BRECKENRIDGE, WSBA No. 21410  
ERIN K. FLORY, WSBA No. 16631  
HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP  
1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900  
Seattle, WA 98101-2609  
206-623-7292  
206-623-0594  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

In re METROPOLITAN SECURITIES  
LITIGATION

NO. CV-04-025 FVS

CLASS ACTION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:  
ALL ACTIONS

DECLARATION OF BRADLEY B.  
JONES IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR  
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF  
PARTIAL SETTLEMENT

WITHOUT ORAL ARGUMENT

Hearing Date: March 2, 2010  
6:30 p.m.

I, Bradley B. Jones, declare as follows:

JONES DEC IN SUPPORT OF MOT FOR PRELIM.  
APPROVAL OF PARTIAL SETTLEMENT - 1 of 6

(CV-04-025-FVS)  
[1459598 v4.doc]

LAW OFFICES  
GORDON THOMAS HONEYWELL LLP  
1201 PACIFIC AVENUE, SUITE 2100  
POST OFFICE BOX 1157  
TACOMA, WASHINGTON 98401-1157  
(253) 620-6500 - FACSIMILE (253) 620-6565

1           1. I am one of the co-Lead Counsel for the Lead Plaintiffs in the  
2 above-entitled action. I make this Declaration based upon my personal  
3 knowledge.

4           2. Attached to this Declaration as Exhibit 1 is a true and correct  
5 copy of the Settlement Agreement that Class Counsel has entered into with  
6 Defendant Roth Capital Partners ("Roth").

7           3. I strongly recommend approval of the Settlement Agreement, as  
8 I believe it is in the best interests of the Class, and it reflects the Court's  
9 January 28, 2010, ruling on Roth's motion for summary judgment.

10           4. We reached the Settlement Agreement with Roth only after  
11 extensive negotiations and discussions that began with a formal day-long  
12 mediation in June 2009 before Ret. Judge Layn Phillips. Plaintiffs did not  
13 reach agreement with Roth at that mediation, but in November 2009,  
14 following the briefing of motions for summary judgment, Judge Phillips  
15 contacted the parties and reignited discussions between the Class and Roth.

16           5. The negotiations with Roth continued through December 2009  
17 and January 2010, as I led discussions with Roth and its counsel both  
18 directly and with the assistance of Judge Phillips and his staff. In addition, I  
19 consulted with the Lead Plaintiffs several times during these negotiations,  
20 and received their unanimous approval of the ultimate agreement.

21           6. My support of this Settlement is based on my assessment of the  
22 factual and legal issues surrounding the claims against Roth following years  
23  
24  
25

26 JONES DEC IN SUPPORT OF MOT FOR PRELIM.  
APPROVAL OF PARTIAL SETTLEMENT - 2 of 6  
(CV-04-025-FVS)  
[1459598 v4.doc]

LAW OFFICES  
GORDON THOMAS HONEYWELL LLP  
1201 PACIFIC AVENUE, SUITE 2100  
POST OFFICE BOX 1157  
TACOMA, WASHINGTON 98401-1157  
(253) 620-6500 • FACSIMILE (253) 620-6565

1 of discovery, including the review of thousands of pages of documents from  
2 this case and related litigation, taking and reviewing hundreds of  
3 depositions, and engaging in extensive expert discovery. My support of the  
4 Settlement has only been strengthened by the Court's recent ruling on  
5 Roth's motion for summary judgment.  
6

7 7. During negotiations, I was provided financial statements  
8 concerning Roth's assets and liabilities. It became clear during negotiations  
9 that the Class bore a significant risk that should we refuse to settle with  
10 Roth, Roth would not be able to pay the full amount of any judgment against  
11 it, especially after incurring the costs of litigating through trial.

12 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States  
13 of America that foregoing is true and correct.

14 Dated this 31st day of January, 2010, at Seattle, Washington.

15  
16 s/ Bradley B. Jones

17 Bradley B. Jones  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

JONES DEC IN SUPPORT OF MOT FOR PRELIM.  
APPROVAL OF PARTIAL SETTLEMENT - 3 of 6  
(CV-04-025-FVS)  
[1459598 v4.doc]

LAW OFFICES  
GORDON THOMAS HONEYWELL LLP  
1201 PACIFIC AVENUE, SUITE 2100  
POST OFFICE BOX 1157  
TACOMA, WASHINGTON 98401-1157  
(253) 620-6500 - FACSIMILE (253) 620-6565

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on January 31, 2010, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system. The Court or the CM/ECF system will send notification of such filings to the CM/ECF participants listed below, and I will mail the same via U.S. Postal Service to the non-CM/ECF participant(s).

Alison Killen Blair at [ablair@orrick.com](mailto:ablair@orrick.com)

Andrew K Polovin at [andrew.polovin@bartlit-beck.com](mailto:andrew.polovin@bartlit-beck.com);

[anne.doyle@bartlit-beck.com](mailto:anne.doyle@bartlit-beck.com)

Brian Charles Frontino at [bfrontino@stroock.com](mailto:bfrontino@stroock.com); [lacalendar@stroock.com](mailto:lacalendar@stroock.com)

Brian D Buckley at [bbuckley@fenwick.com](mailto:bbuckley@fenwick.com); [doconnor@fenwick.com](mailto:doconnor@fenwick.com);

[kroth@fenwick.com](mailto:kroth@fenwick.com)

Carl J Oreskovich at [carl@ettermcmahon.com](mailto:carl@ettermcmahon.com); [roni@ettermcmahon.com](mailto:roni@ettermcmahon.com)

Charles S Wright at [charleswright@dwt.com](mailto:charleswright@dwt.com); [brianspangler@dwt.com](mailto:brianspangler@dwt.com)

Christopher Lind at [chris.lind@bartlit-beck.com](mailto:chris.lind@bartlit-beck.com); [anne.doyle@bartlit-beck.com](mailto:anne.doyle@bartlit-beck.com)

Christopher D Landgraff at [chris.landgraff@bartlit-beck.com](mailto:chris.landgraff@bartlit-beck.com)

Christopher G Emch at [emchc@foster.com](mailto:emchc@foster.com); [pateb@foster.com](mailto:pateb@foster.com)

Daniel F Shea at [dfshea@hhlaw.com](mailto:dfshea@hhlaw.com)

Darrell W Scott at [scottgroup@mac.com](mailto:scottgroup@mac.com); [ssimatos@mac.com](mailto:ssimatos@mac.com)

David D Hoff at [dhoff@tousley.com](mailto:dhoff@tousley.com); [btaylor@tousley.com](mailto:btaylor@tousley.com)

Diana Lynn Weiss at [dweiss@orrick.com](mailto:dweiss@orrick.com)

E Joseph Giometti at [jgiometti@orrick.com](mailto:jgiometti@orrick.com); [jcopoulos@orrick.com](mailto:jcopoulos@orrick.com);

[pbenetz@orrick.com](mailto:pbenetz@orrick.com)

Earl M Sutherland at [esutherland@rmlaw.com](mailto:esutherland@rmlaw.com); [jlading@rmlaw.com](mailto:jlading@rmlaw.com)

Elizabeth J Cabraser at [ecabraser@lchb.com](mailto:ecabraser@lchb.com)

Erin K Flory at [erin@hbsslaw.com](mailto:erin@hbsslaw.com); [jon@hbsslaw.com](mailto:jon@hbsslaw.com)

Fabrice Vincent at [fvincent@lchb.com](mailto:fvincent@lchb.com); [dclevenger@lchb.com](mailto:dclevenger@lchb.com)

Gary I Grenley at [ggrenley@grebb.com](mailto:ggrenley@grebb.com)

George S Azadian at [gazadian@stroock.com](mailto:gazadian@stroock.com); [cdusi@stroock.com](mailto:cdusi@stroock.com)

J Scott McBride at [scott.mcbride@bartlit-beck.com](mailto:scott.mcbride@bartlit-beck.com)

James Bernard King at [jking@ecl-law.com](mailto:jking@ecl-law.com); [kschulman@ecl-law.com](mailto:kschulman@ecl-law.com)

James K Barbee at [jim@golbeckroth.com](mailto:jim@golbeckroth.com)

James M Shaker at [shaker@ryanlaw.com](mailto:shaker@ryanlaw.com); [callahan@ryanlaw.com](mailto:callahan@ryanlaw.com)

JONES DEC IN SUPPORT OF MOT FOR PRELIM.

APPROVAL OF PARTIAL SETTLEMENT - 4 of 6

(CV-04-025-FVS)

[1459598 v4.doc]

1 James P Cusick at [jcusick@orrick.com](mailto:jcusick@orrick.com)  
James P McNeill, III at [mcnej@foster.com](mailto:mcnej@foster.com)  
2 Jeffrey S Miller at [milje@foster.com](mailto:milje@foster.com); [kellie@foster.com](mailto:kellie@foster.com); [snydd@foster.com](mailto:snydd@foster.com);  
3 [hickc@foster.com](mailto:hickc@foster.com)  
John Degnan Munding at [munding@crumb-munding.com](mailto:munding@crumb-munding.com);  
4 [brittany@crumb-munding.com](mailto:brittany@crumb-munding.com)  
John M. Hughes at [john.hughes@bartlit-beck.com](mailto:john.hughes@bartlit-beck.com)  
5 Julia B Strickland at [jstrickland@stroock.com](mailto:jstrickland@stroock.com); [tmitchell@stroock.com](mailto:tmitchell@stroock.com);  
6 [lacalendar@stroock.com](mailto:lacalendar@stroock.com)  
Kelly P Corr at [kcorr@corrchronin.com](mailto:kcorr@corrchronin.com); [dpatterson@corrchronin.com](mailto:dpatterson@corrchronin.com);  
7 [reception@corrchronin.com](mailto:reception@corrchronin.com)  
8 Kenneth P Herzinger at [kherzinger@orrick.com](mailto:kherzinger@orrick.com)  
Kevin Daniel O'Rourke at [kevin@southwellorourke.com](mailto:kevin@southwellorourke.com)  
9 Kim D Stephens at [kstephens@tousley.com](mailto:kstephens@tousley.com); [bkiny@tousley.com](mailto:bkiny@tousley.com)  
10 Leslie R Weatherhead at [lwlibertas@aol.com](mailto:lwlibertas@aol.com); [emilyr@wkdtlaw.com](mailto:emilyr@wkdtlaw.com);  
11 [janetj@wkdtlaw.com](mailto:janetj@wkdtlaw.com)  
Lester C Houtz at [lester.houtz@bartlit-beck.com](mailto:lester.houtz@bartlit-beck.com); [anne.doyle@bartlit-beck.com](mailto:anne.doyle@bartlit-beck.com)  
12 Mark Roth at [mark@golbeckroth.com](mailto:mark@golbeckroth.com)  
Mary D Manesis at [mmanesis@stroock.com](mailto:mmanesis@stroock.com); [cdusi@stroock.com](mailto:cdusi@stroock.com)  
13 Meredith Moss at [mmoss@orrick.com](mailto:mmoss@orrick.com)  
14 Paul H Trincherro at [ptrincherro@grebb.com](mailto:ptrincherro@grebb.com)  
Peter Jennings Grabicki at [pjg@randanco.com](mailto:pjg@randanco.com); [scc@randanco.com](mailto:scc@randanco.com);  
15 [nlg@randanco.com](mailto:nlg@randanco.com)  
16 Philip S Beck at [anne.doyle@bartlit-beck.com](mailto:anne.doyle@bartlit-beck.com);  
17 [susan.dandrea@bartlit-beck.com](mailto:susan.dandrea@bartlit-beck.com)  
Richard M Heimann at [rheimann@lchb.com](mailto:rheimann@lchb.com); [lsimms@lchb.com](mailto:lsimms@lchb.com)  
18 Robert J Nelson at [rnelson@lchb.com](mailto:rnelson@lchb.com)  
19 Ronald L Berenstain at [rberenstain@perkinscoie.com](mailto:rberenstain@perkinscoie.com);  
20 [jtarr@perkinscoie.com](mailto:jtarr@perkinscoie.com)  
Stellman Keehnel at [stellman.keechnel@dlapiper.com](mailto:stellman.keechnel@dlapiper.com);  
21 [nina.marie@dlapiper.com](mailto:nina.marie@dlapiper.com)  
Stephen M Rummage at [steverummage@dwt.com](mailto:steverummage@dwt.com); [jeannecadley@dwt.com](mailto:jeannecadley@dwt.com)  
22 Steve W Berman at [steve@hbsslw.com](mailto:steve@hbsslw.com); [carrie@hbsslw.com](mailto:carrie@hbsslw.com);  
23 [heatherw@hbsslw.com](mailto:heatherw@hbsslw.com)  
Steven Fogg at [sfogg@corrchronin.com](mailto:sfogg@corrchronin.com); [hpowell@corrchronin.com](mailto:hpowell@corrchronin.com)  
24 Timothy L Filer at [filet@foster.com](mailto:filet@foster.com); [howej@foster.com](mailto:howej@foster.com)  
25 Tyler S Weaver at [tyler@hbsslw.com](mailto:tyler@hbsslw.com); [bonneym@hbsslw.com](mailto:bonneym@hbsslw.com);

26 JONES DEC IN SUPPORT OF MOT FOR PRELIM.  
APPROVAL OF PARTIAL SETTLEMENT - 5 of 6

(CV-04-025-FVS)  
[1459598 v4.doc]

jeniphr@hbsslaw.com

Notice has been delivered by other means to:



Legal Assistant to:

F. Mike Shaffer, WSBA No. 18669  
Bradley B. Jones, WSBA No. 17197  
Kenneth G. Kieffer, WSBA No. 10850  
John C. Guadnola, WSBA No. 08636  
GORDON THOMAS HONEYWELL LLP  
1201 Pacific Avenue Suite 2100  
Tacoma WA 98402  
253-620-6500  
253-620-6565

Tyler S. Weaver, WSBA No. 29413  
Jeniphr Breckenridge, WSBA 21410  
HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP  
1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900  
Seattle, WA 98101-2609

Co-Lead Counsel for Lead Plaintiffs

JONES DEC IN SUPPORT OF MOT FOR PRELIM.  
APPROVAL OF PARTIAL SETTLEMENT - 6 of 6

(CV-04-025-FVS)  
[1459598 v4.doc]

LAW OFFICES  
GORDON THOMAS HONEYWELL LLP  
1201 PACIFIC AVENUE, SUITE 2100  
POST OFFICE BOX 1157  
TACOMA, WASHINGTON 98401-1157  
(253) 620-6500 - FACSIMILE (253) 620-6565

# EXHIBIT 1

EXHIBIT 1, PAGE 7

EXHIBIT 1

## SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

WHEREAS Metropolitan Mortgage & Securities Company, Inc. ("Metropolitan") and Summit Securities, Inc. ("Summit") are affiliated corporations that were engaged, in part, in the marketing and sales of their securities through a subsidiary of Summit, Metropolitan Investment Securities, Inc. ("MIS"); and

WHEREAS Metropolitan sold, among other securities, Investment Debentures, Series III and III-A, pursuant to a registration statement declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") on April 29, 2002, and sold Preferred Stock, Series E-7, pursuant to registration statements declared effective by the SEC on November 14, 2001 and August 13, 2002, and Summit sold, among other securities, Investment Certificates, Series B and B-1, pursuant to a registration statement declared effective by the SEC on February 13, 2002 (collectively, the "Relevant Securities"); and

WHEREAS Roth Capital Partners, LLC ("Roth") served as the Qualified Independent Underwriter ("QIU") for the Relevant Securities and certain other securities sold by Metropolitan or Summit or their subsidiaries; and

WHEREAS Metropolitan and Summit, along with MIS, filed for bankruptcy protection on or about February 4, 2004; and

WHEREAS Plaintiffs Venus ("Vee") Hafford Webber, Eva Draughn, through the representative of her estate, Beverly Bizzell, Becklynn Wilkey, Floyd Bodner, Steven and Linda Peterson, and Robert Legard (collectively, "Lead Plaintiffs" or "Class Representatives") have filed a Consolidated and Fourth Amended Class Action Complaint for violations of the Securities Act of 1933 against Roth, Ernst & Young, LLP, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP and certain former officers and directors of Metropolitan and Summit captioned *In re Metropolitan Securities Litigation*, No. CV-04-025-FVS (the



"Action"), pending in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Washington (the "Court"); and

WHEREAS Roth denies that it committed any acts or omissions, or engaged in any wrongdoing, giving rise to any liability and/or violation of law, and has denied the allegations in the Action and asserted numerous defenses to the Action; and

WHEREAS on November 25, 2008, the Court issued an Order certifying a Federal Claims Class of persons who purchased the Relevant Securities and certain other securities and appointed Lead Plaintiffs as Class Representatives; and

WHEREAS on March 16, 2009, the Court issued an Order amending the definition of the Class; and

WHEREAS the Class and Roth participated in mediation before The Hon. Layn Phillips (Retired) and subsequently engaged in lengthy negotiations in an effort to reach a settlement; and

WHEREAS the Class and Roth (collectively, the "Settling Parties") wish to avoid the ongoing expense, risk and uncertainty involved in continued litigation over the matters that have been or could have been alleged by the Class in the Action and instead wish to compromise and settle all the claims that have been or could have been made by the Class against Roth.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Settling Parties memorialize the terms of a binding settlement (the "Settlement") through the execution of this Settlement Agreement.

#### **I. DEFINITIONS**

In addition to the capitalized terms defined above and at other places in this Settlement Agreement, the capitalized terms below shall have the following meanings:

A. Bifurcation Order. "*Bifurcation Order*" means the order bifurcating the Class's claims against Roth, which shall be substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit B. The bifurcated action shall be referred to as the "Roth Action."

B. Class. "*Class*" means the class certified by the Court's Order dated March 16, 2009, as follows: "All persons who purchased investment debentures or preferred stock issued by Metropolitan Mortgage & Securities Company, Inc. ('Metropolitan') or investment certificates by Summit Securities, Inc. pursuant to registration statements that became or were effective during the period from February 13, 2001 through July 31, 2003 (the 'Class Period'), except that the Class does not include persons whose only purchases were of Metropolitan Series E-7 Preferred Stock on a secondary market, including on the American Stock Exchange or the internal trading desk at Metropolitan Investment Securities, Inc." Persons or entities who or which elected to exclude themselves from the Class shall not be included in this Settlement.

C. Class Counsel. "*Class Counsel*" means the law firms of Gordon Thomas Honeywell LLP and Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP.

D. Class Member. "*Class Member*" means any person or entity who or which is a member of the Class and who have not been excluded therefrom.

E. Effective Date. "*Effective Date*" means fifteen (15) days following the date on which all of the following have occurred:

- a) the Court enters an order substantially in the form of Exhibit A hereto;
- b) the Court enters an order substantially in the form of Exhibit B hereto;
- c) the Court enters a final judgment substantially in the form of Exhibit C hereto (the "Judgment" as defined in Section I(H), below); and
- d) the Court's Judgment becomes Final, as defined below.

F. Escrow Agent. "*Escrow Agent*" means the financial institution chosen by Class Counsel to receive, hold, invest and disburse the Settlement Amount pursuant to this Settlement Agreement, any escrow agreement between Class Counsel and the Escrow Agent, and/or Court order.

G. Final. "*Final*," with respect to the Judgment, means the later of: (1) if there is no appeal from the Judgment, the expiration of the time for filing or noticing an appeal; or (2) if there is an appeal from the Judgment, the date of final affirmance on appeal and the expiration of any time for further judicial review whether by appeal, reconsideration or a petition for writ of certiorari and, if certiorari is granted, the date of final affirmance of the Judgment following review pursuant to the grant. Any proceeding or order, or any appeal or petition for a writ of certiorari, pertaining solely to any plan of allocation and/or application for attorneys' fees and expenses, shall not in any way delay or preclude the Judgment from becoming Final.

H. Judgment. "*Judgment*" means the Judgment to be entered finally approving the Settlement and dismissing the Action and the Roth Action with prejudice, which shall be substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit C.

I. Preliminary Approval. "*Preliminary Approval*" means the order preliminarily approving the Settlement, which shall be substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A.

J. Roth. "*Roth*" means Roth Capital Partners, LLC and its past, present and future predecessors, successors, officers, directors, partners, members, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, insurers, advisors, representatives, affiliated persons and entities, and all persons and entities acting for or on its or their behalf.

## **II. SETTLEMENT CONSIDERATION**

A. In full and complete settlement of the claims asserted in the Action against Roth and in consideration of the releases set forth in Section IV below, Roth shall cause the amount of five million dollars (\$5,000,000) (the "Settlement Amount") to be paid into an interest-bearing account (the "Escrow Account"), within ten (10) business days following the Effective Date.

## **III. THE SETTLEMENT FUND**

A. The Settlement Amount, and all interest accrued thereon, are the "Settlement Fund." The Settlement Fund created under this Settlement Agreement shall be established and maintained as a Qualified Settlement Account in accordance with Section 468B of the Internal Revenue Code, as amended (the "Code"), and the Treasury Regulations promulgated thereunder. The Settling Parties agree to negotiate in good faith, subject to court approval, any changes to this Settlement Agreement necessary to obtain IRS approval of the Settlement Fund as a Qualified Settlement Account.

B. Class Counsel or its designated Escrow Agent is appointed to be the "administrator" of the Settlement Fund within the meaning of Treasury Regulation Section 1.468B-2(k)(3). As such, Class Counsel or its designated Escrow Agent will comply with the duties and obligations applicable to the administrator under the Treasury Regulations promulgated under Code Section 468B, including, but not limited to, the following: (i) obtaining a tax identification number for the Settlement Fund; (ii) preparing and timely filing, or causing to be prepared and timely filed, all tax returns the Settlement Fund is required to file under federal or state law; (iii) paying from the Settlement Fund all taxes, including penalties, interest and additions to tax, that are imposed upon the Settlement Fund by federal or state law; (iv) complying with applicable federal or state information

reporting or withholding requirements; and (v) filing, or causing to be filed, tax elections available to the Settlement Fund, including a request for a prompt assessment of tax under Code Section 6501(d) if and when Class Counsel deems it appropriate to do so. If at any time it is determined that the Settlement Fund does not qualify as a Qualified Settlement Account under Code Section 468B, Class Counsel shall promptly seek refunds of all taxes paid by the Settlement Fund. Class Counsel shall pay to the Settlement Fund all such refunds received, together with interest actually received from all taxing authorities.

C. All (i) taxes (including any interest or penalties) arising with respect to the income earned by the Settlement Fund, including any taxes or tax detriments that may be imposed upon Roth with respect to any income earned by the Settlement Fund for any period during which the Settlement Fund does not qualify as a qualified settlement account or fund for federal or state income-tax purposes ("Taxes"), and (ii) expenses and costs incurred in connection with the implementation of this Section (including, without limitation, expenses of a tax attorney or consultant and mailing and distribution costs and expenses relating to filing or failing to file the returns described in this Section ("Tax Expenses")), shall be paid out of the Settlement Fund (except to the extent theretofore paid from the Settlement Fund); in all events, Roth shall have no liability or responsibility for Taxes or Tax Expenses. The Class shall be solely responsible for Taxes and Tax Expenses. Further, Taxes and Tax Expenses shall be timely paid by Class Counsel out of the Settlement Fund without need for an additional or prior order from the Court, and Class Counsel shall be obligated (notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary) to withhold from distribution to the Class any funds necessary to pay such amounts, including the establishment of adequate reserves for any Taxes and Tax Expenses (as well as any

amounts that may be required to be withheld under Treasury Regulation Section 1.468(B)-2(l)(2)); neither Roth nor its counsel shall have any liability or responsibility for such payment or withholding. The Class, as appropriate, is permitted to retain the services of a tax attorney, accountant or consultant to the extent reasonably necessary to carry out the provisions of this Section. Class Counsel, the Class and Roth agree to cooperate with each other and any tax attorneys, accountants or consultants of the Class to the extent reasonably necessary to carry out the provisions of this Section.

D. All costs and expenses associated with administering the Settlement and providing notice of the Settlement to the Class (the "Notice and Administration Expenses"), including, but not limited to, the costs and expenses of a claims administrator, identifying Class Members, preparing, printing and mailing notice and proofs of claim, providing notice by publication or other means as ordered by the Court, processing claims, communicating with Class Members, and implementing any plan of allocation, shall be paid or reimbursed from the Settlement Fund. Roth shall have no liability for the payment of any Notice and Administration Expenses.

#### IV. RELEASES

A. Release By The Class. Upon occurrence of the Effective Date, Lead Plaintiffs and each Class Member, on behalf of themselves and each of their respective past, present and future predecessors, successors, parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, insurers, advisors, representatives, assigns, heirs, executors, trustees, administrators, and custodians, and any person or entity acting on their behalf (collectively, the "Class Releasers"), by operation of the Judgment, will fully, finally and unconditionally release and forever discharge Roth and its past, present and future predecessors, successors, officers, directors, partners, members, employees,

agents, attorneys, accountants, insurers, advisors, representatives, affiliated persons or entities, and all persons and entities acting for or on its or their behalf (collectively, the "Roth Released Parties") from any and all demands, claims, causes of action, rights, duties and liabilities, of every nature and description whatsoever, including both known claims and Unknown Claims, whether suspected or unsuspected, whether based on federal, state, local, statutory or common law or any other law, rule or regulation, that have been, or could have been, asserted by Lead Plaintiffs and the Class, or their agents, representatives, successors or assigns, in the Action or the Roth Action or any other proceeding or forum, arising out of or relating to: (a) the facts, transactions, events, occurrences, acts, failures to act, statements, omissions or disclosures alleged in the Action and/or the Roth Action; (b) the purchase or other acquisition of, or sale or other disposition of, any securities (or interests therein) (whether on the open market or otherwise) issued by Metropolitan or Summit or any of their subsidiaries or affiliates, for which Roth served as QIU, including, but not limited to, the Relevant Securities; (c) the statements contained in the registration statements (including all documents incorporated by reference therein) of Metropolitan, Summit or their subsidiaries and/or prospectuses and offering materials for all such securities; and (d) the administration of the Settlement Fund (collectively, the "Roth Released Claims"). Upon occurrence of the Effective Date, the Class Releasers shall be forever barred and enjoined from commencing, instituting, or maintaining any of the Roth Released Claims against the Roth Released Parties.

B. **Release By Roth.** Upon occurrence of the Effective Date, by operation of the Judgment, Roth will release Lead Plaintiffs and Class Counsel (collectively, the "Plaintiff Released Parties") from any and all claims, demands, causes of action, actions, rights, liabilities, contract obligations, damages, attorneys' fees, costs, torts, suits, debts, sums of

money, accountings, reckonings, bills, covenants, controversies, agreements, promises whatsoever, at law or in equity or otherwise, direct or indirect, known or unknown, which Roth now owns or holds, or has at any time heretofore owned or held, or may in the future own or hold, against the persons and entities they are releasing or any of them, in any capacity, that have been, or could have been, asserted by Roth based upon, arising out of, or relating to the institution or prosecution of the Action or the Roth Action (collectively, the "Plaintiff Released Claims").

C. **No Other Beneficiaries.** Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section that could be construed to the contrary, nothing herein shall be construed to release or waive any claims the Class Releasors may have against any individuals or entities other than the Roth Released Parties, nor any claims the Roth Released Parties may have against any individuals or entities other than the Plaintiff Released Parties.

D. **Unknown Claims Released.** With respect to the releases specified in Sections IV(A) and (B) above, and except as specifically set forth above, the Settling Parties hereby waive any and all rights that they may have against each other under or pursuant to (i) the provisions of Section 1542 of the Civil Code of the State of California, and/or (ii) the provisions of any other similar statutory, regulatory or common law of any state, or of the United States. Section 1542 of the Civil Code of the State of California provides:

A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him or her must have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor.

The parties understand fully the statutory language of Section 1542 of the Civil Code of the State of California and, having been so apprised, nevertheless release all unknown claims ("Unknown Claims") as provided in Sections IV(A) and (B) above.



**V. PRELIMINARY APPROVAL AND BIFURCATION**

A. Promptly after execution of this Settlement Agreement, the Class shall move for entry of Preliminary Approval and the Bifurcation Order, on an expedited basis. The Settling Parties agree that bifurcation of all claims that have been asserted or could have been asserted by the Class and/or non-settling defendants against Roth in the Action, is an express condition to the Settlement and Settlement Agreement. The Settling Parties further agree that, in the event the Court declines to enter Preliminary Approval or the Bifurcation Order in any material respect, or declines to enter orders materially similar to the Preliminary Approval or Bifurcation Order on or before March 2, 2010, the Class and Roth each shall have the right to terminate the Settlement and Settlement Agreement pursuant to Section VIII.

B. The Settling Parties do not anticipate seeking an order approving and directing notice of the Settlement to the Class and setting a final approval hearing, until the earlier of (i) final resolution of the Class's claims against the non-settling defendants in the Action, or (ii) June 15, 2010 or such continued date as the Settling Parties may agree to in writing. At such time, the Settling Parties shall seek approval by the Court of notice of the Settlement to the Class, in a form and manner that is acceptable to Roth.

C. The Settling Parties agree that in the event the Action proceeds to trial as to any non-settling defendant(s), any verdict or judgment that may be obtained by or on behalf of the Class against the non-settling defendant(s) in which any fault or responsibility is attributed to Roth shall not affect the validity or enforceability of the Settlement or Settlement Agreement in any way. Any such verdict, judgment or allocation shall not be used by either the Class or Roth to terminate and/or modify the Settlement Agreement or disturb Preliminary Approval, the Bifurcation Order or the Judgment.

D. The Settling Parties further agree that in the event the Action proceeds to trial as to any non-settling defendant(s): (i) the Class may not use any finding, ruling, order, trial testimony, verdict or judgment or any attribution of fault or responsibility to Roth, for any purpose whatsoever against Roth in the Action, the Roth Action or in any other proceeding or forum; (ii) any finding, ruling, order, trial testimony, verdict or judgment or any attribution of fault or responsibility to Roth, shall not be admissible for any purpose whatsoever as against Roth in the Action, the Roth Action or in any other proceeding or forum; (iii) any finding, ruling, order, trial testimony, verdict or judgment or any attribution of fault or responsibility to Roth, shall not constitute collateral estoppel or res judicata as to Roth in the Action, the Roth Action or in any other proceeding or forum; (iv) Roth shall not use any finding, ruling, order, trial testimony, verdict or judgment for any purpose whatsoever against the Class in the Action or the Roth Action or against the Class in any other proceeding or forum; (v) any finding, ruling, order, trial testimony, attribution of fault or responsibility to any other defendant, verdict or judgment shall not be admissible for any purpose against the Class in the Action or the Roth Action or against the Class in any other proceeding or forum in any litigation between Roth and the Class; and (vi) any finding, ruling, order, trial testimony, attribution of fault or responsibility to any other defendant, verdict or judgment shall not constitute collateral estoppel or res judicata as to the Class in the Action or the Roth Action or against the Class in any other proceeding or forum in any litigation between Roth and the Class. If the Settlement is terminated or fails to become effective for any reason, the Class shall not be estopped from asserting the liability of Roth in the Action, the Roth Action or any other proceeding or forum as if no settlement had been negotiated or entered into, and Roth shall not be estopped from challenging liability

in the Action, the Roth Action or any other proceeding or forum as if no settlement had been negotiated or entered into.

**VI. FINAL APPROVAL AND JUDGMENT**

A. Upon final approval of the Settlement by the Court, the Judgment shall be entered by the Court. The Judgment shall include findings and a determination that the Settlement is fair, just, reasonable and adequate, and is being entered into in good faith after arms-length negotiations by the Settling Parties, and that the Roth Released Parties are entitled to the full protection of Revised Code of Washington 4.22.060, California Code of Civil Procedure Sections 877 and 877(b) and any comparable statute of any other state.

B. The Judgment shall contain a provision that permanently bars, enjoins and restrains any person or entity from asserting, commencing or prosecuting any claim for contribution or indemnification against the Roth Released Parties (or any other claim against the Roth Released Parties where the claimant's injury is based upon, arises from or is related to the claimant's liability to the Class) arising out of or relating to the claims or allegations asserted by the Class in the Action, whether arising under federal, state or foreign law as claims, cross-claims, counterclaims, or third-party claims, whether asserted in the Action, the Roth Action, in this Court, in any federal or state court, or in any other proceeding or forum. It is the intention of the Settling Parties that this Settlement shall discharge the Roth Released Parties from all liability to any third parties for contribution or indemnification (or any other claim against the Roth Released Parties where the claimant's injury is based upon or related to the claimant's liability to the Class) that arises out of or relates to the Roth Released Claims, to the fullest extent permitted by law.

C. The Judgment also shall provide that the judgment reduction requirements applicable under Ninth Circuit law shall apply to any final verdict or judgment that may be

obtained by or on behalf of the Class against a non-settling defendant in which any fault or responsibility is attributed to Roth.

#### **VII. PLAN OF ALLOCATION**

The Settling Parties agree that Lead Plaintiffs and Class Counsel will submit to the Court at a later date, and upon notice to the Class, a plan of allocation for distribution of monies from the Settlement Fund to claimants in a manner consistent with this Settlement Agreement, and as approved by the Court. The plan of allocation is not a necessary term to the Settlement Agreement, and any decision by the Court or an appellate court concerning the plan of allocation shall not entitle the Class or Roth to terminate and/or modify the Settlement Agreement and shall not affect the validity or finality of the Settlement or Settlement Agreement provided that the plan of allocation provides Roth the full benefit of the releases provided in Sections IV(A) and (B) above. Roth shall have no responsibility or liability for allocation of the Settlement Fund.

#### **VIII. TERMINATION**

A. The Class and Roth each shall have the right to terminate the Settlement and Settlement Agreement but only by providing written notice of their election to do so to the other party within five (5) days following: (i) the Court declining to enter Preliminary Approval in any material respect or declining to enter an order materially similar to the Preliminary Approval on or before March 2, 2010; (ii) the Court declining to enter the Bifurcation Order in any material respect or declining to enter an order materially similar to the Bifurcation Order on or before March 2, 2010; (iii) the Court refusing to finally approve the Settlement Agreement or any material part of it; (iv) the Court declining to enter the Judgment in any material respect; (v) the Court declining to enter a Judgment or Order consistent with Section VI above; (vi) the date upon which the Judgment is modified or

reversed in any material respect upon appeal or review; or (vii) in the event the Court enters a judgment other than the Judgment and none of the Settling Parties elects to terminate the Settlement, the date upon which such judgment is modified or reversed in any material respect on appeal or review.

B. Except as otherwise provided herein, with the exception of the Bifurcation Order, in the event the Settlement is terminated or fails to become effective for any reason: (i) the Settlement shall be without prejudice and none of its terms shall be effective or enforceable; (ii) the Settling Parties shall be deemed to have reverted to their respective statuses in the Action and the Roth Action as of January 29, 2010; and (iii) the Settling Parties shall proceed in all respects as if this Settlement Agreement and any related orders had not been entered. In such event, the fact and terms of the Settlement Agreement shall not be admissible in any trial of this Action or the Roth Action or any other proceeding or forum.

#### **IX. COOPERATION CLAUSE**

A. As partial consideration for this Settlement, Roth and its counsel shall reasonably cooperate with Class Counsel, each at their own expense, in obtaining final approval of the Settlement, and in making witnesses available for attendance at trial, including the opportunity to meet and consult with the witnesses prior to trial, subject to Roth's exercise of any privileges available under applicable law.

B. As further partial consideration for this Settlement, Class Counsel and Lead Plaintiffs shall reasonably cooperate with Roth and its counsel in obtaining final approval of the Settlement and the Settlement Agreement, and in any pursuit by Roth of claims against individuals or entities who or which are not parties to the Action, subject to the Class's exercise of any privileges available under applicable law.

C. "Reasonably cooperate" as used in this Section means only that, subject to a party's exercise of any privileges available under applicable law, the Settling Parties will, each at their own expense, make themselves reasonably available to be interviewed, to be deposed, and to testify at trial.

**X. REQUEST FOR AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND EXPENSES**

A. Class Counsel intend to submit an application (the "Fee and Expense Application") for distributions to them from the Settlement Fund for: (i) an award of attorneys' fees; plus (ii) reimbursement of expenses incurred in connection with prosecuting the Action, including the fees and expenses of any experts or consultants; plus (iii) interest on such attorneys' fees and expenses at the same rate and for the same periods as earned by the Settlement Fund (until paid), as may be awarded by the Court ("Attorneys' Fees and Expenses"). Class Counsel reserve the right to make additional applications for fees and expenses incurred. Roth takes no position as to any Fee and Expense Application, and has no responsibility or liability for the allocation of Attorneys' Fees and Expenses.

B. Subject to court approval, Attorneys' Fees and Expenses awarded to Class Counsel may, with court approval, be paid to Class Counsel from the Settlement Fund immediately upon award, notwithstanding the existence of any timely filed objections thereto, or potential for appeal therefrom, or collateral attack on the Settlement, subject to the obligation of Class Counsel jointly to refund or repay any Attorneys' Fees and Expenses to the Settlement Fund plus accrued interest at the rate paid on the Settlement Account by the financial institution holding it, if and when, as a result of any appeal and/or further proceedings on remand, or successful collateral attack or otherwise, the final approval of the Settlement or the fee or expense award is finally denied, reduced or reversed. Each Class Counsel, as a condition of receiving such Attorneys' Fees and Expenses, on behalf of

itself, agrees that it is subject to the jurisdiction of the Court for the purpose of enforcing this Section.

C. Lead Plaintiffs and Class Counsel may not cancel or terminate this Settlement Agreement based on the Court's or any appellate court's ruling with respect to any Fee and Expense Application or other fee or expense award in this Action or the Roth Action.

D. The procedure for, and the allowance or disallowance by, the Court of any Fee and Expense Application are not part of this Settlement Agreement, and are to be considered by the Court separately from the Court's consideration of the fairness, reasonableness and adequacy of the Settlement, and any order or proceeding relating to the Fee and Expense Application, or any appeal from any order relating thereto or reversal or modification thereof, shall not operate to terminate or cancel the Settlement, or affect or delay the finality of the Judgment approving the Settlement set forth herein.

E. Unless the Court orders otherwise, all Attorneys' Fees and Expenses (including experts' fees and expenses) awarded by the Court to Class Counsel may be paid to Class Counsel out of the Settlement Fund, promptly after entry of the Judgment and the Judgment becoming Final. Distribution of such Attorneys' Fees and Expenses among Class Counsel shall be at the sole discretion of Class Counsel in accordance with the work performed by Class Counsel and the benefit provided to the Class.

#### **XI. MISCELLANEOUS**

A. **No Admission By Roth.** Roth entered into this Settlement Agreement solely for the purpose of avoiding the expense, risk and uncertainty of litigation. Entering into, or carrying out, the Settlement Agreement (or exhibits hereto) and any negotiations or proceedings related thereto shall not be construed as, or be deemed to be, evidence of an admission or a concession by Roth that it has any liability, fault or responsibility for any of

the damages or liabilities that the Class claims, and shall not be offered or received in evidence in any action or proceeding in any court, administrative agency or other tribunal for any purpose whatsoever, other than to enforce the provisions of the Settlement Agreement and exhibits hereto.

**B. No Admission By The Class.** Lead Plaintiffs and Class Counsel believe that the Action has merit but have entered into this Settlement Agreement because they believe it is in the best interests of the Class. Entering into, or carrying out, the Settlement Agreement (or exhibits hereto) and any negotiations or proceedings related thereto shall not be construed as, or be deemed to be, evidence of an admission or a concession by Lead Plaintiffs or the Class with regard to the merits of their claims and shall not be offered or received in evidence in any action or proceeding in any court, administrative agency or other tribunal for any purpose whatsoever, other than to enforce the provisions of the Settlement Agreement and exhibits hereto.

**C. Settlement Communications.** Any pleadings submitted or statements made in furtherance of the Settlement reflected in this Settlement Agreement by the parties hereto are settlement communications subject to Federal Rule of Evidence 408 and Washington Evidence Rule 408. In the event final approval of the Settlement Agreement cannot be achieved, the Settling Parties agree that nothing contained in the Settlement Agreement, any subsequent writings intended to implement the Settlement Agreement, or any pleadings or oral or written statements submitted or made by the Settling Parties in negotiations regarding, pursuant to, or in furtherance of the Settlement Agreement, may be used, quoted, referenced, or admitted in any litigation or proceeding that is unrelated to the consummation or approval of the Settlement Agreement.

**D. Exhibits.** All of the exhibits attached hereto are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.



E. **Headings.** The headings herein are used for the purpose of convenience only and are not meant to have legal effect.

F. **Amendment.** This Settlement Agreement may not be modified or amended, nor may any of its provisions be waived, except by a writing signed by all parties hereto or their successors in interest.

G. **Integration.** This Settlement Agreement and its exhibits constitute the entire agreement among the parties hereto concerning Settlement of the Action and supersede all prior agreements, written or oral, among the parties hereto. No representations, warranties or inducements have been made to any party hereto concerning the Settlement Agreement or its exhibits other than those contained and memorialized in such documents.

H. **Jurisdiction.** Without affecting the finality of the Judgment entered in accordance with this Settlement Agreement, the Court shall retain continuing and exclusive jurisdiction over the Settling Parties, including all Class Members, and over the matters set forth in the Settlement Agreement, including the administration and enforcement of the Settlement Agreement. Any disputes or controversies arising with respect to the interpretation, enforcement, or implementation of the Settlement must be resolved by motion to the Court.

I. **Governing Law.** The construction, interpretation, operation, effect and validity of this Settlement Agreement and its exhibits, and all documents necessary to effectuate them, shall be governed by the internal laws of the State of Washington without regard to conflicts of laws, except to the extent that federal law requires that federal law govern.

J. **Counterparts.** The Settlement Agreement may be executed in one or more counterparts. All executed counterparts and each of them shall be deemed to be one and the same instrument, provided that counsel for the parties hereto shall exchange among themselves original signed counterparts.

K. **Authority.** Class Counsel and counsel for Roth represent that they are authorized to sign this Settlement Agreement on behalf of their respective clients. All counsel must sign the Settlement Agreement before it is effective.

L. **Implementation.** The Settling Parties reserve the right, upon written agreement of all of them and subject to the Court's approval, to make any reasonable extensions of time, or modifications to the exhibits, that may be necessary to carry out any of the provisions of this Settlement Agreement.

M. **Construction.** None of the parties hereto shall be considered the drafter of this Settlement Agreement for the purpose of any statute, case law or rule of construction that would or might cause any provision to be construed against the drafter.

N. **Costs.** Except as otherwise provided herein, the Class and Roth each shall bear their own costs incurred in connection with the drafting and performance of their obligations under the Settlement Agreement.

DATED as of this 29th day of January 2010.

**GORDON THOMAS HONEYWELL LLP**

By: Bradley B. Jones  
Bradley B. Jones  
Attorneys for Lead Plaintiffs and the Class

**HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP**

By: \_\_\_\_\_

  
Tyler S. Weaver

Attorneys for Lead Plaintiffs and the Class

**STROOCK & STROOCK & LAVAN LLP**

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Julia B. Strickland

Attorneys for Defendant Roth Capital  
Partners, LLC

**ROTH CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC**

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Its: \_\_\_\_\_

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Tyler S. Weaver  
Attorneys for Lead Plaintiffs and the Class

~~STROOCK & STROOCK & LAVAN LLP~~

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Julia B. Strickland  
Attorneys for Defendant Roth Capital  
Partners, LLC

ROTH CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Its: \_\_\_\_\_

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Tyler S. Weaver  
Attorneys for Lead Plaintiffs and the Class

**STROOCK & STROOCK & LAVAN LLP**

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Julia B. Strickland  
Attorneys for Defendant Roth Capital  
Partners, LLC

**ROTH CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC**

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Its: CEO

# EXHIBIT A

THE HONORABLE FRED VAN SICKLE

F. MIKE SHAFFER, WSBA No. 18669  
BRADLEY B. JONES, WSBA No. 17197  
KENNETH G. KIEFFER, WSBA No. 10850  
JOHN C. GUADNOLA, WSBA No. 08636  
GORDON THOMAS HONEYWELL LLP  
1201 Pacific Avenue, Suite 2100  
Tacoma, WA 98402-4314  
253-620-6500  
253-620-6565

STEVE W. BERMAN, WSBA No. 12536  
TYLER S. WEAVER, WSBA No. 29413  
JENIPHR BRECKENRIDGE, WSBA No. 21410  
ERIN K. FLORY, WSBA No. 16631  
HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP  
1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900  
Seattle, WA 98101-2609

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

In re METROPOLITAN SECURITIES ) No. CV-04-025-FVS  
LITIGATION )

CLASS ACTION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:  
ALL ACTIONS

**[PROPOSED] ORDER  
PRELIMINARILY APPROVING  
SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE  
CLASS AND DEFENDANT ROTH  
CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC**

1 Having considered the Motion and Memorandum of Plaintiffs, pursuant to  
2 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, for an Order preliminarily approving the  
3 proposed settlement (the "Settlement") between the Class and defendant Roth  
4 Capital Partners, LLC ("Roth") in accordance with the terms and provisions of the  
5 Settlement Agreement dated January 29, 2010,

6 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

7 1. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Settlement  
8 Agreement and the Judgment.

9 2. The Court has personal jurisdiction over all parties to the Action and the  
10 Roth Action, including all Class Members and Roth, and subject matter jurisdiction  
11 over the Action and the Roth Action.

12 3. Without further order of the Court, the Settling Parties may agree in  
13 writing to reasonable extensions of time to carry out any of the provisions of the  
14 Settlement Agreement.

15 4. In the interest of conserving expenses to the Class, pursuant to the terms  
16 of the Settlement Agreement, the Court shall defer approval of the form and manner  
17 of providing notice of the Settlement to the Class, and scheduling a hearing for final  
18 approval of the Settlement and Settlement Agreement (the "Final Approval  
19 Hearing").

20 5. The Court hereby preliminarily approves the Settlement, as embodied in  
21 the Settlement Agreement, as being fair, reasonable and adequate as to the Class  
22 Members, subject to further consideration at the Final Approval Hearing.

23 6. This Order shall become null and void, with the exception of the  
24 Bifurcation Order, and be without prejudice to the rights of the Settling Parties, all of  
25 whom shall be deemed to have reverted to their respective statuses in the Action and  
26 the Roth Action as of January 29, 2010, if: (i) the Effective Date does not occur; or  
27 (ii) the proposed Settlement is terminated or does not become effective for any other  
28 reason. In such event, the Settlement Agreement shall become null and void and

- 1 -

ORDER PRELIMINARILY APPROVING SETTLEMENT  
(Case No. CV-04-025-FVS)



1 have no further force and effect, and the Settlement shall be without prejudice and  
2 none of its terms shall be effective or enforceable.

3 7. The Action is stayed as to Roth in all respects until further order of the  
4 Court, except as may be necessary to implement and effectuate the Settlement and  
5 Settlement Agreement.

6 8. Pending determination by the Court as to whether the Settlement, as set  
7 forth in the Settlement Agreement, is fair, reasonable and adequate and should be  
8 finally approved and whether the Judgment dismissing the Action and the Roth  
9 Action with prejudice as to Roth and whether an order barring claims against the  
10 Roth Released Parties should be entered, no Lead Plaintiff nor any Class Member,  
11 either directly, representatively or in any other capacity, shall assert, commence or  
12 prosecute against any of the Roth Released Parties any of the Roth Released Claims  
13 in this Action or the Roth Action or any other proceeding or forum. This injunction  
14 is necessary to protect and effectuate the Settlement, this Order, and the Court's  
15 flexibility and authority to effectuate the Settlement and to enter judgment when  
16 appropriate, and is ordered in aid of the Court's jurisdiction and to protect its  
17 judgments pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1651(a).

18 9. Pending determination by the Court as to whether the Settlement, as set  
19 forth in the Settlement Agreement, is fair, reasonable and adequate and should be  
20 finally approved and whether the Judgment dismissing the Action and the Roth  
21 Action with prejudice as to Roth and whether an order barring claims against the  
22 Roth Released Parties should be entered, no present or future defendant in the Action  
23 or in the Roth Action, either directly, representatively or in any other capacity, shall  
24 assert, commence or prosecute any claim against any of the Roth Released Parties,  
25 however styled, whether legal or equitable, known or unknown, whether arising  
26 under state law or federal non-securities law, whether for indemnification or  
27 contribution or otherwise denominated (including without limitation claims for  
28 breach of contract or misrepresentation), where the claim is based on, arises out of or

- 2 -

ORDER PRELIMINARILY APPROVING SETTLEMENT  
(Case No. CV-04-025-FVS)

1 relates to claims or allegations asserted in the Action or the Roth Action. This  
2 injunction is necessary to protect and effectuate the Settlement, this Order, and the  
3 Court's flexibility and authority to effectuate this Settlement and to enter judgment  
4 when appropriate, and is ordered in aid of the Court's jurisdiction and to protect its  
5 judgments pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1651(a).

6 10. In the event the Action proceeds to trial as to a non-settling  
7 defendant(s): (i) the Class may not use any finding, ruling, order, trial testimony,  
8 verdict or judgment or any attribution of fault or responsibility to Roth, for any  
9 purpose whatsoever against Roth in the Action, the Roth Action or in any other  
10 proceeding or forum; (ii) any finding, ruling, order, trial testimony, verdict or  
11 judgment or any attribution of fault or responsibility to Roth, shall not be admissible  
12 for any purpose whatsoever as against Roth in the Action, the Roth Action or in any  
13 other proceeding or forum; (iii) any finding, ruling, order, trial testimony, verdict or  
14 judgment or any attribution of fault or responsibility to Roth, shall not constitute  
15 collateral estoppel or res judicata as to Roth in the Action, the Roth Action or in any  
16 other proceeding or forum; (iv) Roth shall not use any finding, ruling, order, trial  
17 testimony, verdict or judgment for any purpose whatsoever against the Class in the  
18 Action or the Roth Action or against the Class in any other proceeding or forum; (v)  
19 any finding, ruling, order, trial testimony, attribution of fault or responsibility to any  
20 other defendant, verdict or judgment shall not be admissible for any purpose against  
21 the Class in the Action or the Roth Action or against the Class in any other  
22 proceeding or forum in any litigation between Roth and the Class; and (vi) any  
23 finding, ruling, order, trial testimony, attribution of fault or responsibility to any  
24 other defendant, verdict or judgment shall not constitute collateral estoppel or res  
25 judicata as to the Class in the Action or the Roth Action or against the Class in any  
26 other proceeding or forum in any litigation between Roth and the Class. If the  
27 Settlement is terminated or fails to become effective for any reason, the Class shall  
28 not be estopped from asserting the liability of Roth in the Action, the Roth Action or

1 any other proceeding or forum as if no settlement had been negotiated or entered  
 2 into, and Roth shall not be estopped from challenging liability in the Action, the Roth  
 3 Action or any other proceeding or forum as if no settlement had been negotiated or  
 4 entered into.

5 11. This Order shall not be construed or used as an admission, concession or  
 6 declaration by or against Roth of any fault, wrongdoing, breach, or liability. Nor  
 7 shall the Order be construed or used as an admission, concession or declaration by or  
 8 against the Class Representatives or the Class, that their claims lack merit, that their  
 9 damages are in any way limited, or that the relief requested in the Action or the Roth  
 10 Action is inappropriate, or as a waiver by any party of any defenses of claims he, she,  
 11 or it may have.

12 12. The Class shall move the Court for an order approving and directing  
 13 notice of the Settlement to the Class, and setting a final approval hearing, no later  
 14 than the earlier of either (i) final resolution of the Class's claims against the non-  
 15 settling defendants in the Action, or (ii) June 15, 2010, or such continued date as the  
 16 Class and Roth may agree to in writing.

17  
 18 **IT IS SO ORDERED** this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2010.

19  
 20 \_\_\_\_\_  
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

21 Presented by:

22 GORDON THOMAS HONEYWELL LLP

23  
 24 By: \_\_\_\_\_  
 25 Bradley B. Jones  
 Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Class

26 HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

27  
 28 By: \_\_\_\_\_

- 4 -

ORDER PRELIMINARILY APPROVING SETTLEMENT  
 (Case No. CV-04-025-FVS)

1 Tyler S. Weaver  
2 Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Class  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

- 5 -

ORDER PRELIMINARILY APPROVING SETTLEMENT  
(Case No. CV-04-025-FVS)

EXHIBIT 1, PAGE 36

## **EXHIBIT B**

THE HONORABLE FRED VAN SICKLE

F. MIKE SHAFFER, WSBA No. 18669  
BRADLEY B. JONES, WSBA No. 17197  
KENNETH G. KIEFFER, WSBA No. 10850  
JOHN C. GUADNOLA, WSBA No. 08636  
GORDON THOMAS HONEYWELL LLP  
1201 Pacific Avenue, Suite 2100  
Tacoma, WA 98402-4314  
253-620-6500  
253-620-6565

STEVE W. BERMAN, WSBA No. 12536  
TYLER S. WEAVER, WSBA No. 29413  
JENIPHR BRECKENRIDGE, WSBA No. 21410  
ERIN K. FLORY, WSBA No. 16631  
HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP  
1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900  
Seattle, WA 98101-2609

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

In re METROPOLITAN SECURITIES ) No. CV-04-025-FVS  
LITIGATION )

CLASS ACTION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:  
ALL ACTIONS

**[PROPOSED] ORDER  
BIFURCATING AND STAYING  
CLAIMS AGAINST DEFENDANT  
ROTH CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC**

ORDER BIFURCATING AND STAYING CLAIMS AGAINST  
DEFENDANT ROTH CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLP  
(Case No. CV-04-025-FVS)  
LA 51229938

1 Having considered the Motion and Memorandum of Plaintiffs, pursuant to  
2 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 42(b), for an Order bifurcating and staying all claims  
3 against Defendant Roth Capital Partners, LLC ("Roth"),

4 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

5 1. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Settlement  
6 Agreement.

7 2. The Court has personal jurisdiction over all parties to the Action,  
8 including all Class Members, Roth and the non-settling defendants, and subject  
9 matter jurisdiction over the Action.

10 3. In light of the Settlement between the Class and Roth pursuant to the  
11 Settlement Agreement dated January 29, 2010 and the trial scheduled to commence  
12 in the Action against non-settling defendants on March 15, 2010, and in order to  
13 allow the Settling Parties to implement and seek final approval of the Settlement, it  
14 will further the interests of convenience, avoiding prejudice to the parties and  
15 expediting and economizing to bifurcate any and all claims that have been made,  
16 could be made or may be made in this Action against Roth pursuant to Federal Rule  
17 of Civil Procedure 42(b).

18 4. All claims, demands and causes of action, whether known or unknown,  
19 that have been asserted, could have been asserted or might be asserted by the Lead  
20 Plaintiffs, the Class, any non-settling defendant or any other person or entity in this  
21 Action or any other proceeding or forum, which are based upon or relate to the  
22 matters alleged in the Consolidated And Fourth Amended Class Action Complaint  
23 are hereby: (i) bifurcated from the Action and shall instead be maintained in a  
24 separate proceeding before this Court (the "Roth Action"); and (ii) stayed pending  
25 determination by the Court as to whether the Settlement, as set forth in the  
26 Settlement Agreement, is fair, reasonable and adequate and should be finally  
27 approved and whether the Judgment dismissing the Action and the Roth Action with  
28

1 prejudice as to Roth, including a provision barring any claims against the Roth  
2 Released Parties, should be entered.

3       5. In the event the Action proceeds to trial as to a non-settling  
4 defendant(s): (i) the Class may not use any finding, ruling, order, trial testimony,  
5 verdict or judgment or any attribution of fault or responsibility to Roth, for any  
6 purpose whatsoever against Roth in the Action, the Roth Action or in any other  
7 proceeding or forum; (ii) any finding, ruling, order, trial testimony, verdict or  
8 judgment or any attribution of fault or responsibility to Roth, shall not be admissible  
9 for any purpose whatsoever as against Roth in the Action, the Roth Action or in any  
10 other proceeding or forum; (iii) any finding, ruling, order, trial testimony, verdict or  
11 judgment or any attribution of fault or responsibility to Roth, shall not constitute  
12 collateral estoppel or res judicata as to Roth in the Action, the Roth Action or in any  
13 other proceeding or forum; (iv) Roth shall not use any finding, ruling, order, trial  
14 testimony, verdict or judgment for any purpose whatsoever against the Class in the  
15 Action or the Roth Action or against the Class in any other proceeding or forum; (v)  
16 any finding, ruling, order, trial testimony, attribution of fault or responsibility to any  
17 other defendant, verdict or judgment shall not be admissible for any purpose against  
18 the Class in the Action or the Roth Action or against the Class in any other  
19 proceeding or forum in any litigation between Roth and the Class; and (vi) any  
20 finding, ruling, order, trial testimony, attribution of fault or responsibility to any  
21 other defendant, verdict or judgment shall not constitute collateral estoppel or res  
22 judicata as to the Class in the Action or the Roth Action or against the Class in any  
23 other proceeding or forum in any litigation between Roth and the Class. If the  
24 Settlement is terminated or fails to become effective for any reason, the Class shall  
25 not be estopped from asserting the liability of Roth in the Action, the Roth Action or  
26 any other proceeding or forum as if no settlement had been negotiated or entered  
27 into, and Roth shall not be estopped from challenging liability in the Action, the Roth  
28

- 2 -

ORDER BIFURCATING CLAIMS AGAINST DEFENDANT ROTH  
CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLP  
(Case No. CV-04-025-FVS)



1 Action or any other proceeding or forum as if no settlement had been negotiated or  
2 entered into.

3 6. The Settling Parties shall have the right to move for an order by this  
4 Court modifying the provisions of, or terminating, this Order.

5 **IT IS SO ORDERED** this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2010.

6  
7 \_\_\_\_\_  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

8 Presented by:

9 GORDON THOMAS HONEYWELL LLP  
10

11 By: \_\_\_\_\_  
12 Bradley B. Jones  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Class

13 HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP  
14

15 By: \_\_\_\_\_  
16 Tyler S. Weaver  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Class  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

## EXHIBIT C

THE HONORABLE FRED VAN SICKLE

F. MIKE SHAFFER, WSBA No. 18669  
BRADLEY B. JONES, WSBA No. 17197  
KENNETH G. KIEFFER, WSBA No. 10850  
GORDON THOMAS HONEYWELL  
MALANCA PETERSON & DAHEIM LLP  
1201 Pacific Avenue, Suite 2100  
Tacoma, WA 98402-4314  
253-620-6500  
253-620-6565

STEVE W. BERMAN, WSBA No. 12536  
ERIN K. FLORY, WSBA No. 16631  
JENIPHR BRECKENRIDGE, WSBA No. 21410  
TYLER S. WEAVER, WSBA No. 29413  
HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP  
1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900  
Seattle, WA 98101-2609

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

In re METROPOLITAN SECURITIES ) No. CV-04-025-FVS  
LITIGATION )

CLASS ACTION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:  
ALL ACTIONS

**[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT AND  
ORDER APPROVING  
SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE  
CLASS AND DEFENDANT ROTH  
CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC,  
DISMISSING CLAIMS, BARRING  
CLAIMS FOR CONTRIBUTION  
AND INDEMNITY, AND  
DIRECTING ENTRY OF  
JUDGMENT**

JUDGMENT AND ORDER APPROVING  
SETTLEMENT  
(Case No. CV-04-025-FVS)  
LA 51229939

EXHIBIT 1, PAGE 43

1 THIS MATTER came on for hearing on \_\_\_\_\_, 2010, upon the Motion of  
 2 Plaintiffs to determine, among other things: (i) whether the proposed partial  
 3 settlement (the "Settlement") between the Class and defendant Roth Capital Partners,  
 4 LLC ("Roth") is fair, reasonable and adequate and should be approved; and (ii)  
 5 whether judgment should be entered dismissing the Action and the Roth Action on  
 6 the merits and with prejudice as to Roth. A copy of the Settlement Agreement is  
 7 attached to the Declaration of Bradley Jones in Support of \_\_\_\_\_. (Docket  
 8 No. \_\_\_\_.)

9 On \_\_\_\_\_, 2010, the Court entered an Order Preliminarily Approving  
 10 Settlement and an Order Bifurcating and Staying Claims. (Docket Nos. \_\_\_\_.) On  
 11 \_\_\_\_\_, 2010, the Court entered an Order Approving Form and Manner of Notice, and  
 12 Scheduling Hearing on Fairness and Final Approval of Partial Settlement Pursuant to  
 13 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(e). (Docket No. \_\_\_\_.) Those Orders are  
 14 expressly incorporated herein.

15 Due and adequate notice having been given to the Class, and the Court having  
 16 considered the Settlement Agreement and exhibits thereto, all papers filed and  
 17 proceedings relating to the Settlement Agreement, and having reviewed the entire  
 18 record in the Action, and good cause appearing,

19 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED AS**  
 20 **FOLLOWS:**

21 1. The Court, for purposes of this Judgment and Order of Dismissal (the  
 22 "Judgment"), adopts all defined terms as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

23 2. The Court has personal jurisdiction over all parties to this Action and  
 24 the Roth Action, including all Class Members and Roth, and subject matter  
 25 jurisdiction over the Action and the Roth Action.

26 3. The Court finds that distribution of \_\_\_\_\_, and publication of \_\_\_\_\_ (as  
 27 provided for in the Court's \_\_\_\_\_, 2010 Order) (collectively, the "Notice"),  
 28 constituted the best notice practicable and was reasonably calculated, under the

- 1 -

JUDGMENT AND ORDER APPROVING  
 SETTLEMENT  
 (Case No. CV-04-025-FVS)

1 circumstances, to apprise all Class Members of the terms of the Settlement and their  
2 rights with respect to the Settlement, and afforded Class Members an opportunity to  
3 present their objections, if any, to the Settlement. The Court finds that the provision  
4 of Notice to Class Members met all applicable requirements of Federal Rule of Civil  
5 Procedure 23, the United States Constitution (including the Due Process Clause), the  
6 Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. § 78u-4, et seq.), and  
7 any other applicable law.

8         4. The terms of the Settlement Agreement and this Judgment shall be  
9 forever binding on the Class Representatives and all Class Members and all of their  
10 heirs, executors, administrators, predecessors, successors and affiliates.

11         5. The Court fully and finally approves the Settlement, as set forth in the  
12 Settlement Agreement, including the settlement consideration provided by Roth and  
13 the releases and other terms contained therein, as fair, just, reasonable and adequate.

14         6. The record before the Court demonstrates that the potential damages  
15 alleged by the Class in the Action greatly exceed Roth's ability to satisfy a judgment  
16 against it. The record before the Court also amply demonstrates that the Settlement  
17 was reached after a vigorous defense of the Action by Roth, including obtaining  
18 summary judgment as to certain of Plaintiffs' claims, and vigorous, protracted and  
19 arm's-length negotiations, and that the Settlement has been entered into in good  
20 faith.

21         7. The releases set forth in Section IV of the Settlement Agreement are  
22 effective upon occurrence of the Effective Date and forever discharge: (i) the Roth  
23 Released Parties from any and all claims of liability arising from or related to the  
24 Roth Released Claims; and (ii) the Plaintiff Released Parties from any and all claims  
25 of liability arising from or related to the Plaintiff Released Claims. The Roth  
26 Released Claims are hereby compromised, settled, released, discharged and  
27 dismissed as against the Roth Released Parties on the merits and with prejudice by  
28 virtue of the proceedings herein and this Judgment. The Plaintiff Released Claims

- 2 -

JUDGMENT AND ORDER APPROVING  
SETTLEMENT  
(Case No. CV-04-025-FVS)

EXHIBIT 1, PAGE 45

1 are hereby compromised, settled, released, discharged and dismissed as against the  
2 Plaintiff Released Parties on the merits and with prejudice by virtue of the  
3 proceedings herein and this Judgment.

4 8. The Court permanently bars and enjoins: (i) the Class Releasors from  
5 filing, commencing, prosecuting, intervening in, participating in (as class members  
6 or otherwise), or receiving any benefits or other relief from, any other lawsuit,  
7 arbitration or other proceeding or order in any jurisdiction that is based upon, arises  
8 out of or relates to the Roth Released Claims; and (ii) Roth from filing, commencing,  
9 prosecuting, intervening in, participating in (as class members or otherwise), or  
10 receiving any benefits or other relief from, any other lawsuit, arbitration or other  
11 proceeding or order in any jurisdiction that is based upon, arises out of or relates to  
12 the Plaintiff Released Claims.

13 9. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(f)(7)(A) and the Settlement Agreement,  
14 any and all claims arising out of any claims under the federal securities laws released  
15 by the Class, including, but not limited, to any claims based upon, arising out of or  
16 relating to the claims or allegations that were asserted or could have been asserted by  
17 the Class in the Action or the Class Action Complaint, Consolidated and Amended  
18 Class Action Complaint, Consolidated and Second Amended Class Action  
19 Complaint, Consolidated and Third Amended Class Action Complaint or  
20 Consolidated and Fourth Amended Class Action Complaint, (a) by any person  
21 against the Roth Released Parties, and (b) by the Roth Released Parties as to non-  
22 settling defendants (named as of January 1, 2010) in the Action, except as set out in  
23 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(f)(7)(A)(ii), are hereby permanently barred, enjoined and  
24 restrained. This bar shall apply whether or not the Roth Released Parties are  
25 "covered persons" as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(f)(10). Accordingly, without  
26 limiting the above, any person (including any non-settling defendant in the Action  
27 and all persons purporting to act on his, its or their behalf and all persons purporting  
28 to claim by or through him, it or them whether under a subrogation theory or

- 3 -

JUDGMENT AND ORDER APPROVING  
SETTLEMENT  
(Case No. CV-04-025-FVS)

1 otherwise) is hereby permanently barred, enjoined and restrained from asserting,  
2 commencing or prosecuting against the Roth Released Parties any such claims, and  
3 the Roth Released Parties are hereby permanently barred, enjoined and restrained  
4 from asserting, commencing or prosecuting against any non-settling defendant in the  
5 Action any such claims.

6 10. Any final verdict or judgment to which 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(f)(7)(B)  
7 applies that may be obtained by or on behalf of the Class or a Class Member against  
8 any non-settling defendant in the Action shall be reduced by the greater of: (a) an  
9 amount that corresponds to the percentage of fault or responsibility attributed to Roth  
10 for the alleged loss to the Class or the Class Member; or (b) the amount paid by or on  
11 behalf of Roth to the Class in connection with the Settlement Agreement except to  
12 the extent, if any, that such reduction may be affected by the provisions of 15 U.S.C.  
13 § 77(k)(t)(1). If any final verdict or judgment under the federal securities laws that is  
14 not subject to 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(f)(7)(B) is obtained by or on behalf of the Class or a  
15 Class Member against any non-settling defendant in the Action, then such final  
16 verdict or judgment shall be reduced according to the judgment reduction provisions  
17 applicable under Ninth Circuit law, and/or, to the extent applicable, the provisions of  
18 U.S.C. § 77k(f)(l).

19 11. The Court finds that the Settlement represents a reasonable and good  
20 faith settlement of all claims arising under state law or federal non-securities law  
21 released by the Class under the Settlement Agreement and is sufficient to discharge  
22 the Roth Released Parties from all such claims. The Court further finds that the Roth  
23 Released Parties are entitled to protection to the fullest extent permitted by  
24 applicable state law and federal non-securities law from liability to third parties for  
25 contribution or indemnification or any other claim where the claimant's injury is the  
26 claimant's liability to the Class. These protections include, without limitation, those  
27 provided by Revised Code of Washington 4.22.060, California Code of Civil  
28 Procedure Sections 877 and 877.6 and any comparable statute or common law of any

- 4 -

JUDGMENT AND ORDER APPROVING  
SETTLEMENT  
(Case No. CV-04-025-FVS)

EXHIBIT 1, PAGE 47

1 other state (the "Additional Contribution Protections"). To the extent such  
2 Additional Contribution Protections apply, any non-settling defendant in the Action  
3 shall have any final verdict or judgment obtained by or on behalf of the Class against  
4 such non-settling defendant reduced according to the corresponding judgment  
5 reduction provisions applicable under such state law or federal non-securities law,  
6 including, but not limited to, those provided by Revised Code of Washington  
7 4.22.060, California Code of Civil Procedure Sections 877 and 877.6 and any  
8 comparable statute or common law of any other state.

9 To effect the Settlement, the Court orders that:

10 (a) Any and all non-settling defendants (named as of January 1,  
11 2010) in the Action, and all persons and entities representing them or otherwise  
12 acting on their behalf, are permanently barred, enjoined and restrained from  
13 asserting, commencing or prosecuting any claim against the Roth Released Parties,  
14 however styled, whether legal or equitable, known or unknown, whether arising  
15 under state law or federal non-securities law, whether for indemnification or  
16 contribution or otherwise denominated (including without limitation claims for  
17 breach of contract or misrepresentation), where the claim is based on, arises out of or  
18 relates to claims or allegations asserted in the Action or the Roth Action. including,  
19 without limitation, any claim in which such non-settling defendant seeks to recover  
20 from the Roth Released Parties: (1) any amounts that a non-settling defendant has  
21 paid, has become liable to pay or may become liable to pay in the Action or in any  
22 proceeding commenced by a Class Member; and/or (2) any costs, expenses or  
23 attorneys' fees that a non-settling defendant has incurred or may incur in defending  
24 against any claim asserted in the Action or in any proceeding commenced by a Class  
25 Member (hereafter, "Barred Claims"). All Barred Claims are hereby extinguished,  
26 discharged, satisfied and made unenforceable.

27 (b) Because the non-settling defendants, and all persons and entities  
28 representing them or otherwise acting on their behalf, are barred from asserting any

- 5 -

JUDGMENT AND ORDER APPROVING  
SETTLEMENT  
(Case No. CV-04-025-FVS)



1 Barred Claims against the Roth Released Parties, any final verdict or judgment  
2 entered against a non-settling defendant by the Class or a Class Member shall be  
3 reduced as determined by, and provided for under, the law applicable to such Barred  
4 Claims.

5 (c) If the Class obtains a settlement, judgment or verdict based upon,  
6 arising out of or relating to the Action against a person, and, notwithstanding the  
7 provisions in Paragraphs 9, 10, 11(a) and 11(b) above, that person obtains a recovery  
8 against the Roth Released Parties for: (1) amounts that person has paid, has become  
9 liable to pay or may become liable to pay to the Class; and/or (2) any costs, expenses  
10 or attorneys' fees that person has incurred in defending such claims, the Class shall  
11 reduce or credit any settlement, judgment or verdict against that person by the  
12 amount of that person's recovery against the Roth Released Parties, which amount  
13 shall then be credited to the recovery against the Roth Released Parties.

14 12. The Settlement does not result in final adjudication of the matter as to  
15 all defendants. Therefore, the Court reserves making the findings required by 15 §  
16 U.S.C. 78u-4(c)(i).

17 13. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment, the Court retains  
18 continuing and exclusive jurisdiction over the Class Members and Roth for purposes  
19 of the administration, interpretation, implementation, effectuation and enforcement  
20 of the Settlement and Settlement Agreement and the Judgment.

21 14. The Action and the Roth Action are hereby dismissed as against Roth  
22 only, on the merits and with prejudice, upon occurrence of the Effective Date.

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

1           15. Because the Settlement resolves all claims as to Roth in the Action and  
2 the Roth Action, the Court finds that there is no just reason to delay entry of this  
3 Judgment as a final judgment as against Roth. Accordingly, the Court directs the  
4 immediate entry of final judgment by the clerk of the Court, as against Roth only,  
5 pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(b).

6           **IT IS SO ORDERED** this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2010.

7  
8           \_\_\_\_\_  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

9 Presented by:

10 GORDON, THOMAS, HONEYWELL LLP  
11

12 By: \_\_\_\_\_  
13 Bradley B. Jones  
14 Attorneys for Lead Plaintiffs and the Class

15 HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP  
16

17 By: \_\_\_\_\_  
18 Tyler S. Weaver  
19 Attorneys for Lead Plaintiffs and the Class  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

- 7 -

JUDGMENT AND ORDER APPROVING  
SETTLEMENT  
(Case No. CV-04-025-FVS)

EXHIBIT 1, PAGE 50